

New Mexico- (Clayton Field Office)
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date _____
Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

1. Water Quantity - (Total Maximum Points 80)

Irrigation Efficiency - Use FIRS to Evaluate			Potential Points	Benchmark Points	After Points
% Efficiency	% of Area in Contract before Treatment	% of Area in Contract After Treatment			
10-30%			10		
31-40%			20		
41-50%			30		
51-60%			40		
61-70%			50		
71-80%			60		
81-85%			70		
>85%			80		
1. Water Quantity			Total		

2. Water Quality - (Total Maximum Points 75)

A. Surface Water Pollutants - (40) Points Maximum

There is a probability that runoff water from irrigated fields contains sediment, salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals). Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from entering live waters, or re-entering a shared irrigation system. Points will be awarded based on distance from the end of field to the nearest live waters or re-entry point into a shared irrigation system. If there is no run-off, after points will be 0.

Distance of Surface Run-Off to Live Water	Points	Benchmark	After
<100 Ft. (Highest)	40	0	
101 - 500 Ft.	30	0	
501 - 1,320 Ft.	20	0	
1,320 - 2,640 Ft.	10	0	
>2,640 Ft. (Lowest)	5	0	
A. Surface Water		Total	0

B. Ground Water Pollutants - (35) Points Maximum

There is a probability that irrigation water containing salt, pesticides, and/or nutrients (or other associated chemicals) is leaching into the ground water. Treatment is needed to prevent these pollutants from contaminating ground water, through leaching and direct return flow into wells. Points to be awarded based on depth to the water table, or elimination of any direct

Depth to Water Table	Points	Benchmark	After
1 - 10 Ft or elimination of any direct discharge into ground water. (Highest)	35	0	
10 - 50 Ft.	25	0	
50 -100 Ft.	15	0	
>100 Ft. (Lowest)	5	0	
B. Ground Water		Total	0

New Mexico- (Clayton Field Office)
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date _____
Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

3. Selected Conservation Practices - (Total Maximum Points 130)

Any practice used in the ranking criteria and intended to be included in the conservation plan of operations must be cost-shared or have an incentive payment. Higher priority (value) should be given to those practices which address multiple resource concerns, are cost effective, and have longer life spans. Use the Quality Criteria in the FOTG to establish the practices that have an impact on the identified resource concern.		Potential Points	Percent of need to be installed.	Points
Soil (Erosion), Water (Quality, Quantity), Air (Quality), Plants (Health), Animals (Habitat)				
550	Range Planting for center pivot corners	10		
412	Grassed waterway (ac)	10		
442	Irrigation system (Drip Tape)	10		
442	Irrigation system Sprinkler (LESA)	20		
442	Irrigation system Sprinkler (LEPA)	40		
442	Chemigation Valve	10		
442	Flow Meter	20		
447	Irrigation system, tailwater recovery (no.)	10		
430	Irrigation water conveyance, Pipeline	10		
436	Irrigation storage reservoir (no. and ac-ft)	10		
449	IWM (in association with center pivot systems only)	10		
3. Selected Conservation Practices		Total		

New Mexico- (Clayton Field Office)
FY 2003 Ranking Criteria Worksheet - Irrigated Cropland

Applicant _____ Farm No. _____ Tract No. _____ CMS Field No's. _____ Date _____
 Tribal Land _____ Non-Tribal Land _____ Preliminary Rating _____ Final Rating _____

4. Other Considerations - (Total Maximum Points 35)

Below are some suggested, not required, criteria. If there are other criteria the D.C. wants to recommend based on LWG advice, please include them here.	Potential Points	Benchmark	After Points
A. At risk species are in the area and the contract will enhance habitat for the species.	10	0	
B. Treatment of this land could have a beneficial impact on a 303d listed stream segment.	20	0	
C. Treatment of this land could enhance the benefits of an active sec. 319 project.	N/A	0	
D. This land is within a proposed sec. 319 project.	N/A	0	
4. Other Considerations	Total	0	

 Designated Conservationist _____ Date _____